$\alpha \circ \alpha \circ$	
S-2004.2	

## SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5362

\_\_\_\_\_\_

State of Washington 54th Legislature 1995 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Transportation (originally sponsored by Senators Smith and Heavey)

Read first time 03/06/95.

- AN ACT Relating to siting a supplemental airport to the Seattle-Zacoma International Airport that will be operating after 2018;
- 3 amending RCW 36.70A.200; adding a new section to chapter 47.06 RCW;
- 4 adding a new section to chapter 47.80 RCW; adding a new chapter to
- 5 Title 47 RCW; and declaring an emergency.
- 6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 7 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that airports are
- 8 notoriously difficult to site in populated areas, even when the
- 9 proposed airport may not be built for twenty or thirty years. Regional
- 10 governments may recognize the need for a new airport, and perhaps even
- 11 attempt to plan for it. But as has been demonstrated repeatedly in
- 12 Washington state and elsewhere, regional and local public officials are
- 13 unwilling, and sometimes unable, to site controversial, yet essential,
- 14 airports because of political pressure. The legislature intends the
- 15 airport siting council to site airports that will ensure the long-term
- 16 air capacity needs of Washington state. The legislature also intends
- 17 for the current regional planning process within the Puget Sound region
- 18 relating to airport capacity to continue. Therefore, the airport
- 19 siting council may not make a decision that interferes with the

p. 1 SSB 5362

- 1 construction of the third runway at the Seattle-Tacoma International
- 2 Airport.

12

nonvoting capacity.

- 3 NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. (1) The airport siting council is 4 established consisting of the following members: (a) The secretary of the department of transportation, or a designee; (b) the director of 5 the department of community, trade, and economic development, or a 6 7 designee; (c) a member of the transportation commission appointed by the governor, who shall be the chair of the council; (d) five members 8 9 of the general public with special knowledge or background in airport 10 issues, appointed by the governor; and (e) a member of a growth 11 management hearings board, selected by the governor and serving in a
- The five members of the general public who are appointed by the governor may be rejected by the senate. They shall serve for staggered four-year terms of office, calculated from the first day of January in the year in which they are appointed. Staggering of terms of office will be established by the appointment of the initial appointees, three of whom shall be appointed to a four-year term of office and the other two appointed to a two-year term of office.
- The member of a growth management hearings board must be a member of the growth management hearings board that hears appeals from actions taken under the Growth Management Act by counties not located in the region in which the proposed airport project under consideration is located or that is subject to the proposed transportation plan under consideration.
- (2) The chair of the council may designate another councilmember to serve as the acting chair in the absence of the chair. The department of transportation shall provide all administrative and staff support for the council.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. If the transportation commission finds that 30 all of the following have occurred, it shall declare an impasse and 31 32 refer the issue to the airport siting council: (1) A regional 33 transportation plan does not satisfactorily address the state's interest as defined in RCW 47.06.040 with respect to planning for 34 35 transportation needs through siting of an airport; (2) there has been ample time to address siting of an airport in the 36 37 transportation plan and local comprehensive plans, but either no

SSB 5362 p. 2

decision has been reached or the process has resulted in a decision that will not address the state's transportation needs as set forth in RCW 47.06.040; (3) the process prescribed in RCW 36.70A.106 by which the department of transportation reviews and suggests changes to the regional transportation plans and local comprehensive plans with respect to airport siting needs has been exhausted; (4) the transportation commission has notified the local governments and regional bodies responsible for the regional transportation plan of its intent to declare an impasse; and (5) the local governments and regional bodies responsible for the regional transportation plan have failed to show cause within sixty days of such notification that an impasse should not be declared.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. The transportation commission may not declare an impasse before January 1, 2001, regarding the search for a supplemental airport in Washington state or a replacement for Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, or both. The proposed airport may not be built for at least seventeen years after the airport siting council has made its decision.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. (1) The airport siting council shall convene to make a siting decision, including a new supplemental airport, whenever the transportation commission passes a resolution declaring that an impasse exists in the siting of an airport of state-wide significance, as provided in section 3 of this act. The council has three hundred sixty days after the date on which the transportation commission resolution is passed to make a decision regarding the siting of the airport of state-wide significance and to communicate its decision in writing to the governor.

(2) The responsibility of the council is to make airport siting decisions that reflect the interest of the entire state and region. Accordingly, the council is not limited to the options examined by the regional or local authority, and may also consider alternative sites outside the region. The council shall consider communities that want a supplemental airport. It must also attempt to minimize the cost and the number of people adversely affected by its siting decision. In forming its decision the council shall review existing information and analyses regarding the siting issue, including both technical data and the decision-making process that failed to produce a resolution. The

p. 3 SSB 5362

council may also hire staff for technical evaluation, analysis, and research associated with the preparation of its siting decision. The council may also consider relationships between the proposed facility and other existing or planned transportation facilities of state-wide interest such as airports, rail services, ferry systems, or highways.

6 7

8

9

10

11

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

- (3) To the greatest extent possible, the council must provide a fair and open process. It must hold at least two public hearings for each site under consideration to solicit comments from the public. The council must also notify interested persons, groups, and agencies to the greatest extent possible regarding its activities and the sites under consideration.
- 12 (4) The council may not make a decision that interferes with the 13 construction of the third runway at the Seattle-Tacoma International 14 Airport.
- 15 NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. (1) The governor may reject the siting 16 council's initial decision within sixty days. If the governor rejects the siting council's initial decision, he or she may request the siting 17 18 council to provide an alternative decision within thirty days. governor has another sixty days to reject the siting council's 19 alternative decision. Once the council has made a decision, the 20 council must inform in writing the governor, the transportation 21 commission, the chair(s) of the legislative transportation committee, 22 23 the county or city affected, and the regional transportation planning 24 organization located in the region in which the airport will be sited.
  - (2) Subject to the conditions set forth in section 8(2) of this act, a decision by the council binds the state and each of its political subdivisions, such as departments, agencies, divisions, bureaus, commissions, boards, counties, cities, towns, ports, and special districts, whether a political subdivision is a member of the council or not, regarding approval of a site and the construction and operation of a proposed airport.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. The legislature may invalidate the council's decision if a majority of each house votes to object to its choice of sites. The legislature must vote by the end of the legislative session convened after the council's decision. This will ensure that the legislature has at least one full legislative session for deliberation.

SSB 5362 p. 4

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. (1) This chapter supersedes other laws for establishing the location of airports of state-wide significance or rules adopted under state law for establishing the location of airports of state-wide significance.
- 5 (2) However, the requirements of this chapter and rules adopted 6 under it do not supersede the State Environmental Policy Act, the State 7 Clean Air Act, the State Clean Water Act, the Shoreline Management Act, 8 the laws relating to solid and hazardous waste management, and all the 9 related portions of the Washington Administrative Code that implement 10 these environmental laws.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. A new section is added to chapter 47.06 RCW to read as follows:
- The legislature declares to be of state-wide significance any 13 14 airports designated by the department as included in its plan as 15 determined by its own functional classification system and criteria. 16 The department shall assert leadership and cooperate with regional transportation planning organizations, counties, cities, transit 17 18 agencies, public ports, and the private sector, by including in the 19 state's multimodal transportation plan improvements to transportation facilities and services of state-wide significance. Improvements to 20 facilities and services of state-wide significance identified in the 21 22 state-wide multimodal transportation plan developed under RCW 23 47.01.071(3) are considered essential public facilities under RCW 24 36.70A.200.
- NEW SECTION. **Sec. 10.** A new section is added to chapter 47.80 RCW to read as follows:
- The regional transportation planning organization must adopt a regional transportation plan that adequately implements the decision of the council to site an airport of state-wide significance, as provided in section 6 of this act.
- 31 **Sec. 11.** RCW 36.70A.200 and 1991 sp.s. c 32 s 1 are each amended 32 to read as follows:
- 33 (1) The comprehensive plan of each county and city that is planning 34 under this chapter shall include a process for identifying and siting 35 essential public facilities. Essential public facilities include those 36 facilities that are typically difficult to site, such as airports,

p. 5 SSB 5362

- 1 state education facilities and state or regional transportation
- 2 facilities, state and local correctional facilities, solid waste
- 3 handling facilities, and in-patient facilities including substance
- 4 abuse facilities, mental health facilities, and group homes. <u>If the</u>
- 5 process of siting an airport fails, the airport shall be sited by the
- 6 process set forth in chapter 47.-- RCW (sections 1 through 8 of this
- 7 <u>act).</u>
- 8 (2) The office of financial management shall maintain a list of
- 9 those essential state public facilities that are required or likely to
- 10 be built within the next six years. The office of financial management
- 11 may at any time add facilities to the list. No local comprehensive
- 12 plan or development regulation may preclude the siting of essential
- 13 public facilities.
- 14 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 12.** Sections 1 through 8 of this act constitute
- 15 a new chapter in Title 47 RCW.
- 16 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 13.** This act is necessary for the immediate
- 17 preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the
- 18 state government and its existing public institutions, and shall take
- 19 effect immediately.

--- END ---